STRUCTURAL CALCULATIONS

PROJECT: Oregon Convention Center Chiller Plant Redesign 777 NE Martin Luther King Jr Blvd Portland, OR 97232

MFIA, Inc Consulting Engineers 2007 SE Ash St Portland, OR 97214





James G. Pierson, Inc. Consulting Structural Engineers 610 S.W. ALDER SUITE 918 PORTLAND, OR. 97205 (503) 226-1286 FAX 226-3130

April 30, 2018

Structural Narrative

The four large cooling towers on the lower roof at the east side of the Oregon Convention Center are being replaced with a newer Chiller units that are both smaller and lighter in weight than the existing cooling towers and it will be located in the same area. The existing framing and cooling towers were part of the 1990 construction.

Summary:

The lateral support requirements of the HVAC units can be resisted by the existing beams and posts with a new frame added to these existing posts (frame sized for the smaller unit dimensions). The new cooling tower CT-1 weights 9,500 lbs compared to 14,000 lbs for the old one and the new CT-2, 3, 4 weighs 24,700 lbs compared to 26,000 lbs for the existing units.

The vertical load of the new Cooling Tower is resisted by the existing concrete curb walls on the roof. The curbs act as concrete beams spanning between steel beams that create the roof of the mechanical area. The existing steel beams at the top of the curb will remain with new steel curb located on top of it sized for the footprint of the new, smaller units. Lateral loads are transmitted the exact same as before, just lighter units.



James G. Pierson, Inc.	Project OCC Chillers	Job no.
Consulting Structural Engineers 610 S.W.Alder, Suite 918 Portland, Oregon 97205 Tel: (503) 226-1286 Fax: (503) 226-3130	Location Portland, OR	Date 4/13/18
	Client MFIA F	Sheet no. age 3 of 21



James G. Pierson, Inc.	Project OCC Chillers	Job no.
Consulting Structural Engineers 610 S.W.Alder, Suite 918 Portland, Oregon 97205 Tel: (503) 226-1286 Fax: (503) 226-3130	Location Portland, OR	Date 4/13/18
	Client MFIA F	Sheet no. age 4 of 21

Seismic Design Forces on Mechanical Units

Task: Determine the lateral forces (seismic) and required connections for HVAC equipment installed onto a floor or roof of a structure. The vertical adequacy of the structure for the weight of the equipment and other dead and live loads is beyond the scope of this section of the analysis and is by others unless specifically noted herein.

References: 2012 IBC (2014 OSSC) Section 1613.1

ASCE 7-10 Section 13.6 for mechanical components and systems

Criteria:

Seismic Design Category **D**, **Component Importance Factor** $I_{p} = 1.00$ Latitude = 45.528Longitude =-122.662 Site class D **Risk Category** III $W_p = 9500 lb$ h = 204 in w = 84 in l = 168 in $W_{curb} = 1000 lb$ $h_{curb} = 48$ in

> Mapped acceleration parameters (Section 11.4.1) USGS-Provided Output at short period $S_S = 0.976$ at 1 sec period $S_1 = 0.418$

Site coefficient at short period (Table 11.4-1) Fa = 1.110 at 1 sec period (Table 11.4-2) $F_v = 1.582$

Spectral response acceleration parameters

at short period (Eq. 11.4-1)

at 1 sec period (Eq. 11.4-2) $S_{M1} = F_v \times S_1 = 0.661$

 $S_{MS} = F_a \times S_S = 1.083$



$S_s =$	0.976 g	S _{MS} =	1.083 g	S _{DS} =	0.722 g
S1 =	0.418 g	S _{M1} =	0.662 g	S _{D1} =	0.441 g

For information on how the SS and S1 values above have been calculated from probabilistic (risk-targeted) and deterministic ground motions in the direction of maximum horizontal response, please return to the application and select the "2009 NEHRP" building code reference document.



Although this information is a product of the U.S. Geological Survey, we provide no warranty, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy of the data conta ed therein. This tool is not a substitute for technical subject-matter kn

Design spectral acceleration parameters (Sect 11.4.4)

Source: http://geohazards.usgs.gov/designmaps/us/application.php

at short period (Eq. 11.4-3) S_{DS} = 2 / 3 × S_{MS} = 0.722 at 1 sec period (Eq. 11.4-4) $S_{D1} = 2/3 \times S_{M1} = 0.441$

James G. Pierson, Inc.	Project OCC CHILLERS - CT-1	Job no.
Consulting Structural Engineers 610 S.W. Alder, Suite 918 Portland, Oregon 97205 Tel: (503) 226-1286 Fax: (503) 226-3130	777 NE MLK JR BLVD, Portland, OR	Date 5/2/2018
	Client MFIA Inc, Consulting Engineers	Sheet no.

Application of OSSC and ASCE 7-10 Requirements:

- Section 13.3 Attachments for floor or roof mounted equipment greater than 400 lbs in weight need to be designed for seismic forces
- Section 13.3-1 Design for Total Lateral Force

$$F_p = \frac{a_p C_a I_p}{R_p} \left(1 + 3 \frac{h_x}{h_r} \right) W_p$$
 Eq. 13.3-1

Total design lateral force

-

Except that: $F_p > 0.7C_a I_p W_p$ and $F_p \le 4C_a I_p W_p$ (32-3)

Table 13.6-1 - Horizontal Force Factors, ap and Rp

Electrical, mechanical and plumbing equipment and associated conduit and ductwork and piping. - $a_p = 1.0$ and $R_p = 2.5$

Unit on flat roof above mechanical room so $h_x = 16$ ft $h_r = 16$ ft

Load Combinations - Members and the connection design shall use the load combinations and factors specified in Section 2.3.2. The reliability/redundancy factor may be taken as 1.0 and Fp is substituted for Qe.

Design Lateral Force:

 $F_{p} = 0.4 * a_{p} * S_{DS} * I_{p} / R_{p} * (1 + 2 * h_{x}/h_{r}) * W_{p} \qquad F_{p} = 3292.228 \text{ lbs} \ Eq. \ 13.3-1$ Fp need not exceed Fp₁ = 1.6* S_{DS} * I_p * W_p = 10974.092 lbs \ Eq. \ 13.3-2

Fp shall not be less than $Fp_2 = 0.3 * S_{DS} * I_p * W_p = 2057.642 \text{ lbs}$ Eq. 13.3-3

The design is controlled by $F_p = 3292.228$ lbs

 $F_{pcurb} = 0.4 * a_p * S_{DS} * I_p / R_p * (1 + 2 * h_x/h_r) * W_{curb}$ $F_{pcurb} = 346.550$ lbs Eq. 13.3-1

James G. Pierson, Inc.	Project OCC CHILLERS - CT-1	Job no.
Consulting Structural Engineers 610 S.W. Alder, Suite 918 Portland, Oregon 97205 Tel: (503) 226-1286 Fax: (503) 226-3130	777 NE MLK JR BLVD, Portland, OR	Date 5/2/2018
	Client MFIA Inc, Consulting Engineers	Sheet no.

Overturning:

Overturning will be controlled by Equation 2.3.2-7 of the Basic Load Combinations for Strength Design which is:

0.9 D + E

In this equation, according to ASCE 7 the value of E shall include

 $E = pQe - 0.2 \text{ Sds } D = 1.0 \text{ Qe} - [0.2 \times \text{S}_{\text{DS}} \times \text{W}_{\text{P}}] = Qe - 0.144 \text{ D}$

Therefore, when substituting Qe Equation 16-18 becomes 0.756 D + E

Assume Center of gravity of unit and curb is located at center of height. The following forces apply to allowable stress stability calculations using Equation 16-18 as modified for Qe

Unit Mass = 0.756 D = 7178.239 lbs F_p = 3292.228 lbs



James G. Pierson, Inc.	Project	OCC CHILLERS - CT-1	Job no.
Consulting Structural Engineers	Location	777 NE MLK JR BLVD, Portland, OR	Date 5/2/2018
Tel: (503) 226-1286 Fax: (503) 226-3130	Client	MFIA Inc, Consulting Engineers	Sheet no.

Compute Stability about bottom of curb h = 204.000 in h/2 = 102.000 in $h_{curb} = 48.000$ in w = 84.000 in

w/2 = 42.000 in

Safety Factor Against Overturning =Restoring_Moment / TM = 0.653

From this calculation, it is demonstrated that there is some overturning and will need the benefit of hold down anchors. Need to anchor unit for sliding forces also.

James G. Pierson, Inc.	Project	OCC CHILLERS - CT-1	Job no.
Consulting Structural Engineers 610 S.W. Alder, Suite 918 Portland, Oregon 97205 Tel: (503) 226-1286 Fax: (503) 226-3130	Location	777 NE MLK JR BLVD, Portland, OR	Date 5/2/2018
	Client	MFIA Inc, Consulting Engineers	Sheet no.

MASON INDUSTRIES Manufacturers of Vibration Control F 350 Rabro Drive Hauppauge, NY 11788 631/348-0282 FAX 631/348-0279 FAX 714/535	S, Inc. <i>Products</i> (A yea, Suite D A 92801 2727 35-5738	DB NAME USTOMER USTOMER P.O ASON M I		2" DEFLECTION B, B2, C2, 2-C2 & 4-C
Info@Mason-Ind.com Info@MasonAr	naheim.com	WG. NO.		SERIES SPRING
			TINGS	
"D" Tap - 4 Holes unless otherwise requested Image: Construction of the second of	justment It 3D -Max It ameter Steel Housing Acoustical Acoustical Acoustical Defl. (in) 1.67 1.67 1.67 1.67 1.67 1.67 1.67 1.67	Size SLRSO-B-20 SLRSO-B-26 SLRSO-B-35 SLRSO-B-35 SLRSO-B-50 SLRSO-B-50 SLRSO-B-50 SLRSO-B-50 SLRSO-B-150 SLRSO-B-150 SLRSO-B-210 SLRSO-B-290 SLRSO-B2-210 SLRSO-B2-200 SLRSO-B2-210 SLRSO-B2-200 SLRSO-B2-200 SLRSO-C2-125 SLRSO-C2-125 SLRSO-C2-120 SLRSO-C2-210 SLRSO-C2-210 SLRSO-C2-120 SLRSO-C2-120 SLRSO-C2-100 SLRSO-C2-100 SLRSO-C2-1210 SLRSO-C2-1210 SLRSO-2-C2-120 SLRSO-2-C2-120 SLRSO-2-C2-140 SLRSO-2-C2-120 SLRSO-2-C2-120 SLRSO-2-C2-120 SLRSO-2-C2-120 SLRSO-2-C2-120 SLRSO-2-C2-120 SLRSO-2-C2-120 SLRSO-2-C2-120 SLRSO-2-C2-120 SLRSO-2-C2-120 </th <th>Rated Rated Spr Capacity Defl. Cons (lb) (in) (lb) 20 2.40 26 26 2.18 35 35 2.20 50 65 2.10 50 85 2.10 50 210 2.12 9 290 2.00 14 450 2.00 21 290 2.00 14 450 2.00 3 125 2.50 3 170 2.40 2 260 2.20 11 330 2.00 14 460 2.00 21 260 2.20 11 330 2.00 14 460 2.00 31 880 2.00 44 1210 2.00 66 # 1540 2.00 32 0 920</th> <th>Aring Max. Horiz. Istant Housing Spring Join) G Rating Color 8 70.0 Tan 12 53.9 Wht/Blue 16 40.0 Purple 24 28.0 Wht/Red 31 21.6 Brown 40 16.5 Wht/Blue 57 9.3 Orange 99 6.8 Silver 44 4.9 Blue 24 3.2 Tan 40 2.1 Gray 50 35.2 Purple 70 25.9 Brown 90 21.0 Red 20 16.9 White 65 13.3 Black 230 9.6 Blue 205 3.6 Silver* 40 5.0 Gray* 305 2.4 Silver* 40 1.6 White 30</th>	Rated Rated Spr Capacity Defl. Cons (lb) (in) (lb) 20 2.40 26 26 2.18 35 35 2.20 50 65 2.10 50 85 2.10 50 210 2.12 9 290 2.00 14 450 2.00 21 290 2.00 14 450 2.00 3 125 2.50 3 170 2.40 2 260 2.20 11 330 2.00 14 460 2.00 21 260 2.20 11 330 2.00 14 460 2.00 31 880 2.00 44 1210 2.00 66 # 1540 2.00 32 0 920	Aring Max. Horiz. Istant Housing Spring Join) G Rating Color 8 70.0 Tan 12 53.9 Wht/Blue 16 40.0 Purple 24 28.0 Wht/Red 31 21.6 Brown 40 16.5 Wht/Blue 57 9.3 Orange 99 6.8 Silver 44 4.9 Blue 24 3.2 Tan 40 2.1 Gray 50 35.2 Purple 70 25.9 Brown 90 21.0 Red 20 16.9 White 65 13.3 Black 230 9.6 Blue 205 3.6 Silver* 40 5.0 Gray* 305 2.4 Silver* 40 1.6 White 30
Housing load ratings expressed in G's are based of	n tests with bolted c	onnections to steel top	and bottom.	
PLAN VIEW OF MOUNT LOCATIONS TAG : UNIT :		1 : 2 : 3 : 4 : 5 : 6 : Se	ets Required :	7 : 8 : 9 : 10 : 11 : 12 :
FORM S-175 10/2008	VN:	CHKD:	DATE:	^D ଅନୁତି% of 21

Seismic Design Forces on Mechanical Units

Task: Determine the lateral forces (seismic) and required connections for HVAC equipment installed onto a floor or roof of a structure. The vertical adequacy of the structure for the weight of the equipment and other dead and live loads is beyond the scope of this section of the analysis and is by others unless specifically noted herein.

References: 2012 IBC (2014 OSSC) Section 1613.1

ASCE 7-10 Section 13.6 for mechanical components and systems

Criteria:

Seismic Design Category D, Component Importance Factor $I_p = 1.00$ Latitude = 45.528 Longitude =-122.662 Site class D Risk Category III $W_p = 24700$ lb h = 204 in w = 144 in l = 306 in $W_{curb} = 1000$ lb $h_{curb} = 48$ in

> Mapped acceleration parameters (Section 11.4.1) at short period $S_S = 0.976$ at 1 sec period $S_1 = 0.418$

Site coefficient at short period (Table 11.4-1) $F_a = 1.110$ at 1 sec period (Table 11.4-2) $F_v = 1.582$

Spectral response acceleration parameters at short period (Eq. 11.4-1) $S_{MS} = F_a \times S_S = 1.083$ at 1 sec period (Eq. 11.4-2) $S_{M1} = F_v \times S_1 = 0.661$ SGS Design Maps Summary Report User-Specified Input Report Title OCC Chiller Tue April 10, 2018 21:20:40 UTC Building Code Reference Document 2012/2015 International Building Code (which utilizes USGS hazard data available in 2008) Site Coordinates 45.5282°N, 122.6616°W Site Soil Classification Site Class D - "Stiff Soil" Risk Category I/II/III ORTLAND Cam as AIRPORT PORTLAND IAP AGS PORTLANDTROUTDALE AIRPORT illsboro Portland Gresham Beaverton 10

Design Maps Summary Report

USGS-Provided Output

Tigard

$s_s =$	0.976 g	S _{MS} =	1.083 g	S _{DS} =	0.722 g
S1 =	0.418 g	S _{M1} =	0.662 g	S _{D1} =	0.441 g

For information on how the SS and S1 values above have been calculated from probabilistic (risk-targeted) and deterministic ground motions in the direction of maximum horizontal response, please return to the application and select the "2009 NEHRP" building code reference document.



Design spectral acceleration parameters (Sect 11. 4th Avgh this information is a product of the U.S. Geological Survey, we provide no warranty, expressed or implied, as to the accordacy of the data contained therein. This tool is not a substitute for technical subject-matter knowledge. Source: http://geohazards.usgs.gov/designmaps/us/application.php

at short period (Eq. 11.4-3) $S_{DS} = 2/3 \times S_{MS} = 0.722$ at 1 sec period (Eq. 11.4-4) $S_{D1} = 2/3 \times S_{M1} = 0.441$

Application of OSSC and ASCE 7-10 Requirements:

James G. Pierson, Inc.	Project OCC CHILLERS - CT-2, CT-3, and CT-4	Job no.
Consulting Structural Engineers 610 S.W. Alder, Suite 918 Portland, Oregon 97205 Tel: (503) 226-1286 Fax: (503) 226-3130	777 NE MLK JR BLVD, Portland, OR	Date 5/1/2018
	Client MFIA Inc, Consulting Engineers	Sheet no. Page 10 of 21

Section 13.3 Attachments for floor or roof mounted equipment greater than 400 lbs in weight need to be designed for seismic forces

Section 13.3-1 -Design for Total Lateral Force

$$F_p = \frac{a_p C_a I_p}{R_p} \left(1 + 3\frac{h_x}{h_r}\right) W_p$$
 Eq. 13.3-1

Total desig

-

Except that:
$$F_p > 0.7C_a I_p W_p$$
 and $F_p \le 4C_a I_p W_p$ (32-3)

Table 13.6-1 - Horizontal Force Factors, ap and Rp

Electrical, mechanical and plumbing equipment and associated conduit and ductwork and piping. - $a_p = 1.0$ and $R_p = 2.5$

Unit on flat roof above mechanical room so $h_x = 16$ ft $h_r = 16$ ft

Load Combinations - Members and the connection design shall use the load combinations and factors specified in Section 2.3.2. The reliability/redundancy factor may be taken as 1.0 and Fp is substituted for Qe.

Design Lateral Force:

 $F_p = 0.4 * a_p * S_{DS} * I_p / R_p * (1 + 2 * h_x/h_r) * W_p$ $F_p = 8559.792$ lbs Eq. 13.3-1 Fp need not exceed $Fp_1 = 1.6^* S_{DS} * I_p * W_p = 28532.639 \text{ lbs}$ Eq. 13.3-2 Fp shall not be less than $Fp_2 = 0.3 * S_{DS} * I_p * W_p = 5349.870$ lbs Eq. 13.3-3

The design is controlled by $F_p = 8559.792$ lbs

 $F_{pcurb} = 0.4 * a_p * S_{DS} * I_p / R_p * (1 + 2 * h_x/h_r) * W_{curb}$ $F_{pcurb} = 346.550$ lbs Eq. 13.3-1

James G. Pierson, Inc.	Project OCC CHILLERS - CT-2, CT-3, and CT-4	Job no.
Consulting Structural Engineers 610 S.W. Alder, Suite 918 Portland, Oregon 97205 Tel: (503) 226-1286 Fax: (503) 226-3130	^{Location} 777 NE MLK JR BLVD, Portland, OR	Date 5/1/2018
	^{Client} MFIA Inc, Consulting Engineers	Sheet no. Page 11 of 21

Overturning:

Overturning will be controlled by Equation 2.3.2-7 of the Basic Load Combinations for Strength Design which is:

0.9 D + E

In this equation, according to ASCE 7 the value of E shall include

 $E = pQe - 0.2 \text{ Sds } D = 1.0 \text{ Qe} - [0.2 \times S_{DS} \times W_p] = Qe - 0.144 \text{ D}$

Therefore, when substituting Qe Equation 16-18 becomes 0.756 D + E

Assume Center of gravity of unit and curb is located at center of height. The following forces apply to allowable stress stability calculations using Equation 16-18 as modified for Qe

Unit Mass = 0.756 D = 18663.420 lbs $F_p = 8559.792$ lbs



James G. Pierson, Inc.	Project	OCC CHILLERS - CT-2, CT-3, and CT-4	Job no.
Consulting Structural Engineers 610 S.W. Alder, Suite 918 Portland, Oregon 97205 Tel: (503) 226-1286 Fax: (503) 226-3130	Location	777 NE MLK JR BLVD, Portland, OR	Date 5/1/2018
	Client	MFIA Inc, Consulting Engineers	Sheet no. Page 12 of 21

Compute Stability about bottom of curb h = 204.000 in h/2 = 102.000 in $h_{curb} = 48.000$ in w = 144.000 in

w/2 = 72.000 in

Safety Factor Against Overturning =Restoring_Moment / TM = 1.075

From this calculation, it is demonstrated that there is some overturning and will need the benefit of hold down anchors. Need to anchor unit for sliding forces also.

James G. Pierson, Inc.	Project	OCC CHILLERS - CT-2, CT-3, and CT-4	Job no.		
Consulting Structural Engineers	Location	777 NE MLK JR BLVD, Portland, OR	Date 5/1/2018		
Tel: (503) 226-1286 Fax: (503) 226-3130	Client	MFIA Inc, Consulting Engineers	Sheet no. Page 13 of 21		

	Wind Loads on Rooftop Structures and Equipment for buildings with h<=60ft,ASCE7-10 Sec 29.5.1
	Lateral force $F_h = q_h * GC_r * A_r - 29.5-2$
-	$q_h = 0.00256 \ ^{\kappa_z} \ ^{\kappa_d} \ ^{\kappa_{zt}} \ ^{\nu_e} pst-29.3-1$
	The following table shows the calculation for lateral force and net uplift on roof top equipment along long and short directions :
	$\frac{\text{Long Direction :}}{\text{OTM} = F_{h-long} \times \text{Total Height/2 ; R.M} = \text{Total Weight x Width/2}}{T/C(lbs) = (O.T.M - 0.6 R.M)/Width}$
	Short Direction: OTM = F _{h-short} *Total Height/2 ; RM = Total weight * Length/2 T/C(lbs) = (OTM-0.6RM)/length

ASCE7-10,Sec 29.5.1 Windloads for Roof top Structures,h<=60ft

All units in lb,ft

	Unit Tag Component Data	MAU-1	Building Dimesnions		Wind Parameters		
	Component Weight ,Wp	24600	Building Length ,L	150	Basic Wind Speed,mph (Sec 26.5)	120	1
	Curb Weight, W _c	1000	Building Width. B	150	Wind directionality Factor K _d (Sec 26.6)	0.85	
	Total Weight, W	25600	Building Height , h	30	Exposure Category (Sec 26.7)	В	
	Component Height ,H	17			Topographic factor K _{zt} (Sec 26.8)	1	
	Component Length, I	25			velocity pressure coefficient K _z (Sec 29.3.1)	0.701	
4	Component Width , W	12			velocity pressure q_z or q_h in psf (sec 29.3.2)	21.953	
	Height of Curb	1					-
	Total Height	18	1		As per section 29.5.1	long	short
6					Vertically projected Area A _f	450.00	216.00
					Guss coefficient GC	1.9	1.9
					q_z or q_h in psf(ASD)	13.22	13.22
					Lateral Force F _h , lbs(q _h *GC _r *A _f)	11306.91	5427.32
					Total OTM .ft-lb(F _b * Htotal/2)	101762.21	48845.86
					Total RM,ft-lb (Total Weight*(width or length)/2)	153600	320000
					T/C,Ibs ((OTM - 0.6RM)/(width or length)	800.18	-5726.17
							1
ł.				Ov bo	verturning. Need to It to frame		
		1				3 1	

James G. Pierson, Inc.	Project OCC CHILLERS - CT-2, CT-3, and CT-4	Job no.	
Consulting Structural Engineers	777 NE MLK JR BLVD, Portland, OR	Date 5/1/2018	
Tel: (503) 226-1286 Fax: (503) 226-3130	Client MFIA Inc, Consulting Engineers	Sheet no. Page of 21	

MASON INDUSTRIES Manufacturers of Vibration Control Pro 350 Rabro Drive Hauppauge, NY 11788 631/348-0282 FAX 631/348-0279 FAX 714/535-27 FAX 714/535	, Inc. <i>Joducts</i> ve., Suite D 92801 '27 -5738	IOB NAME CUSTOMER CUSTOMER P.O MASON M.I			2" [B, B2, C2,	RSO EFLECTION 2-C2 & 4-C2 RIES SEPTING
Info@Mason-Ind.com Info@MasonAnal www.Mason-Ind.com	neim.com	DWG. NO			SER	MOUNTS
"D" Tap - 4 Holes unless otherwise		TYPE SI RSO RAT	TINGS			
"D" Tap - 4 Holes unless otherwise requested Vertical Limit Stops- Out of contact during normal operation Rubber Snubbing Collar H Lower Restraining Nut Spring DD Free Ht. Ratio (in) Kx/Ky OD/C R 2 3/8 4 0.55-0.65 0.95- R2 2 3/8 4 11/2 0.80-0.90 1.19- C2 7/8 5 0.63-0.85 0.96- Restraining Surger Housing which contains two (2) C2 springs Housing load rations expressed in G's are based on the solid equ of the rated deflection.	stment -Max heter heter heel ousing oustical must be supported fl. b 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67	Size SLRSO-B-20 SLRSO-B-26 SLRSO-B-26 SLRSO-B-35 SLRSO-B-50 SLRSO-B-50 SLRSO-B-50 SLRSO-B-50 SLRSO-B-50 SLRSO-B-50 SLRSO-B-50 SLRSO-B-50 SLRSO-B-150 SLRSO-B-210 SLRSO-B-290 SLRSO-B2-290 SLRSO-B2-290 SLRSO-200 SLRSO-200 SLRSO-200 SLRSO-2010 SLRSO-22-100 SLRSO-22-100 SLRSO-22-210 SLRSO-22-210 SLRSO-22-210 SLRSO-22-210 SLRSO-22-210 SLRSO-22-210 SLRSO-22-210 SLRSO-22-210 SLRSO-22-2100 SLRSO-22-2100 SLRSO-22-2240 SLRSO-2-22-240 SLRSO-2-22-240 SLRSO-2-22-240 SLRSO-2-22-242 SLRSO-2-22-242 SLRSO-2-22-242 SLRSO-2-22-242	TINGS Rated Rated S Capacity Defl. Co (lb) (in) (l 20 2.40 26 2.18 35 2.20 50 2.20 65 2.10 115 2.00 210 2.12 290 2.00 450 2.00 450 2.00 680 2.00 450 2.00 680 2.00 450 2.00 680 2.00 330 2.00 610 2.00 610 2.00 880 2.00 210 2.20 330 2.00 610 2.00 610 2.00 610 2.00 20 320 20 660 200 660 200 2.00 20 2.00 20 2.00 20 2.00	pring Ma nstant H (b/in) G 8 12 16 24 31 40 57 75 99 144 224 340 57 75 99 144 224 340 50 70 90 120 165 230 50 70 90 120 165 230 50 70 90 120 165 230 50 70 90 120 165 230 50 70 90 140 165 230 50 70 90 140 165 230 50 70 90 120 165 230 50 70 90 120 165 230 50 70 90 120 165 230 50 70 90 120 165 230 50 70 90 120 165 230 50 70 90 120 165 230 50 70 90 120 165 230 50 70 90 120 165 230 50 70 90 120 165 230 50 70 90 120 165 230 50 70 90 120 165 230 50 70 935 140 180 240 330 540 880 210 540 880 210 540 880 210 540 880 210 540 880 210 540 880 210 540 880 210 540 880 210 540 880 210 540 880 210 540 880 210 540 880 210 540 880 210 540 880 210 540 880 210 540 880 210 540 880 210 540 880 210 540 870 540 870 540 870 540 870 540 870 540 870 540 870 540 870 540 870 540 870 540 870 540 870 540 870 540 870 540 870 540 870 578 578 578 578 578 578 578 578	ax. Horiz. Housing Rating 70.0 53.9 40.0 28.0 21.6 16.5 12.2 9.3 6.8 4.9 3.2 2.1 35.2 25.9 21.0 16.9 13.3 9.6 7.2 5.0 3.6 2.9 2.4 17.7 14.3 11.6 9.1 6.5 4.9 3.4 2.5 1.9 1.6 2.9 2.4 17.7 14.3 11.6 9.1 6.5 4.9 3.4 2.5 1.9 1.6 2.2 1.7 1.4 with RED i HCL 7 7 1/2 12 1/4 11 ne (1) C2 s	Spring Color Tan Wht/Blue Purple Wht/Red Brown Wht/Blk Silver Orange Silver Blue Tan Gray Purple Brown Red White Black Blue Green Gray* Silver* Brown Red White Black Blue Green Gray* Silver*
						1
PLAN VIEW OF MOUNT LOCATIONS TAG : UNIT :		1 : 2 : 3 :		7 : 8 : 9 :		
		4 :		10 :		
		6 :	to Doguizad	12 :		
		Se				
FORM S-175 10/2008	:	CHKD:	DATE:	Page	[№] 5 of 21	







NOTE: ALL MEASUREMENTS ARE OD TO OD OF FOOTPAD. ALL GIVEN DIMENSIONS ARE WITHIN ±1/8".





NOTE: ALL MEASUREMENTS ARE OD TO OD OF FOOTPAD. ALL GIVEN DIMENSIONS ARE WITHIN ±1/8".

